



23 January 2018

Our ref: 201019

Mr J Lewis
Collins Construction Materials Pty Ltd
214 MacArthur Road
Elderslie NSW 2570

Dear Jason,

Re: Groundwater Monitoring Spring Farm Sand and Soil Pit – REPORT 2018-1

This is to confirm that groundwater sampling at Spring Farm has been carried out with the results summarised in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Summary of Groundwater Monitoring Results.

| ANALYTE | VALUE | TARGET | DATE | TIME | TEMP |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|------|
| EC (uS/cm) | 305 (<i>Non-Saline</i>) | < 800 uS/cm | 11 -1 -18 | 9.00 am | 22°C |
| pH | 5.72 (<i>Moderately acidic</i>) | 4 – 6.50 | | | |
| Depth to Water Table (m) ¹ | 11.10 | > 10 m | | | |

Notes:

1. This value represents the depth to groundwater from the TOP OF THE STAND PIPE (670 mm above ground level);
2. Refer to **Appendix 2** for laboratory analysis results and monthly summary data
3. Refer to **Appendix 3** for quality control documentation.

The results indicate that groundwater is:

- *Non-saline* and is well below the nominated target of < 800uS/cm;
- *Moderately acidic* and falling within the nominated pH range of 4 – 6.50;
- At the limit of the target depth > 10 m.

Yours faithfully,


Mart Rampe BSc (Applied Geology)
Principal Consultant

APPENDIX 1: Collins Spring Farm Monitoring Locations

MS 1: Dust

MS 2: Dust and Groundwater

MS 3: Dust



APPENDIX 2: Laboratory Analytical Results and monthly summary data

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order : **ES1801664**
Client : **HARVEST SCIENTIFIC SERVICES**
Contact : MR MART RAMPE
Address : PO BOX 427
 NARELLAN NSW, AUSTRALIA 2567
Telephone : +61 02 4647 6177
Project : COLLINS SPRING FARM
Order number : 2018-1
C-O-C number : ----
Sampler : MART RAMPE
Site : ----
Quote number : EN/222/17
No. of samples received : 1
No. of samples analysed : 1

Page : 1 of 2
Laboratory : Environmental Division Sydney
Contact : Customer Services ES
Address : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164

Telephone : +61-2-8784 8555
Date Samples Received : 11-Jan-2018 16:45
Date Analysis Commenced : 11-Jan-2018
Issue Date : 12-Jan-2018 12:08



Accreditation No. 825
 Accredited for compliance with
 ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

| Signatories | Position | Accreditation Category |
|-------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| Ankit Joshi | Inorganic Chemist | Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW |



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
 LOR = Limit of reporting
 ^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting
 ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.
 ~ = Indicates an estimated value.

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: **WATER**
 (Matrix: **WATER**)

Client sample ID

| | | | | COLLINS | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
|--|------------|------|---------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Client sampling date / time | | | | [11-Jan-2018] | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | ES1801664-001 | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Result | | | | | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| EA005P: pH by PC Titrator | | | | | | | | |
| pH Value | ---- | 0.01 | pH Unit | 5.72 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| EA010P: Conductivity by PC Titrator | | | | | | | | |
| Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C | ---- | 1 | µS/cm | 305 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |

| GROUNDWATER MONITORING STATION M2 - COLLINS SITE | | | | | | |
|--|-------|------------|------------|-------|--|--|
| Date | Time | Temp. (°C) | EC (uS/cm) | pH | Depth to Water Table (m) from top of stand pipe | Comments |
| 10-03-09 | 15:30 | N/A | 528 | < 6.2 | 11.59 | pH was measured using a QUIKCHEK pH test strip. |
| 01-04-09 | 11:00 | N/A | 533 | < 6.2 | 11.50 | pH was measured using a Eutech pH 5+ meter |
| 01-05-09 | 10:35 | N/A | 533 | < 6.2 | 11.50 | |
| 01-06-09 | 10:25 | 17.3 | 541 | 4.79 | 11.50 | |
| 24-06-09 | 10:20 | 17 | 542 | 4.53 | 11.50 | |
| 31-07-09 | 9:05 | 17.3 | 598 | 4.98 | 11.59 | |
| 31-08-09 | 9:07 | 17.6 | 610 | 4.81 | 11.60 | |
| 30-09-09 | 9:45 | 18 | 619 | 4.71 | 11.59 | |
| 30-10-09 | 9:45 | 18.5 | 621 | 4.75 | 11.47 | |
| 27-11-09 | 8:00 | 19 | ~ | 4.73 | 11.47 | |
| 04-01-10 | 9:50 | 18.5 | 634 | 4.73 | 11.51 | |
| 02-02-10 | 9:45 | 18.7 | 641 | 4.78 | 11.52 | |
| 01-03-10 | 9:50 | 18.1 | 635 | 4.67 | 11.56 | |
| 31-03-10 | 9:15 | 18.2 | 639 | 4.74 | 11.56 | |
| 30-04-10 | 9:15 | 17.8 | 640 | 4.76 | 11.56 | |
| 01-06-10 | 10:40 | 18.1 | 640 | 4.66 | 11.56 | |
| 30-06-10 | 9:30 | 16.4 | 614 | 5.17 | 11.56 | |
| 02-08-10 | 10:40 | 17.4 | 639 | 4.64 | 11.56 | |
| 01-09-10 | 9:30 | 18.6 | 641 | 4.82 | 11.56 | |
| 05-10-10 | 15:50 | 19.3 | 651 | 4.61 | 11.56 | |
| 05-11-10 | 15:30 | 18.5 | 657 | 5.12 | 11.36 | |
| 07-12-10 | 9:50 | 19 | 670 | 5.09 | 11.32 | |
| 23-12-10 | 12:30 | 19.5 | 952 | 5.12 | 11.32 | |
| 01-02-11 | 12:00 | 20.3 | 657 | 5.17 | 11.39 | |
| 01-04-11 | 11:00 | 18.7 | 778 | 5.01 | 11.39 | |
| 29-04-11 | 11:30 | 18.3 | 745 | 5.12 | 11.39 | |
| 30-05-11 | 10:30 | 17 | 777 | 5.64 | 11.39 | |
| 01-07-11 | 16:30 | 16.5 | 763 | 5.27 | 11.39 | |
| 29-07-11 | 15:30 | 18.5 | 775 | ~ | 11.29 | |
| 01-09-11 | 15:30 | 18.8 | 851 | 4.64 | 11.29 | |
| 04-10-11 | 13:00 | 18.4 | 847 | 4.66 | 11.29 | |
| 28-10-11 | 15:30 | 19.5 | 846 | 4.48 | 11.29 | |
| 01-12-11 | 8:30 | 17.9 | 896 | 4.51 | 11.35 | |
| 23-12-11 | 9:45 | 18.8 | 793 | 4.49 | 11.35 | |
| 01-02-12 | 16:15 | 18.9 | 782 | 4.48 | 11.35 | |
| 28-02-12 | 8:30 | 18.7 | 806 | 4.42 | 11.35 | |
| 30-03-12 | 15:30 | 19.7 | 775 | 4.52 | 10.77 | |
| 30-04-12 | 14:30 | 18.9 | 772 | 4.59 | 10.77 | |
| 31-05-12 | 12:30 | 19 | 744 | 4.55 | 10.78 | |
| 08-07-12 | 10:30 | 17.5 | 784 | 4.46 | 10.90 | |
| 02-08-12 | 8:00 | 13.2 | 769 | 4.53 | 11.05 | |
| 03-09-12 | 15:30 | 19.3 | 759 | 4.66 | 11.20 | |
| 29-09-12 | 15:00 | 19.2 | 799 | 4.67 | 11.47 | |
| 01-11-12 | 15:00 | 19.8 | 786 | 4.67 | 11.30 | |
| 03-12-12 | 13:00 | 19.1 | 769 | 4.81 | 11.69 | |
| 28-12-12 | 14:30 | 19.1 | 767 | 4.69 | 11.69 | |
| 31-01-13 | 11:30 | 19.2 | 789 | 4.82 | 11.40 | Prolonged period of heavy rain. |
| 04-03-13 | 9:30 | 19.1 | 757 | 4.78 | 11.09 | |
| 03-04-13 | N/A | N/A | 756 | 5.24 | 11.21 | New site personnel (Simon Winter). |
| 03-05-13 | 9:30 | N/A | 620 | 4.40 | 11.17 | Commenced laboratory analysis of pH and EC |
| 03-06-13 | 15:05 | N/A | 670 | 4.80 | 11.20 | |
| 03-07-13 | 15:00 | N/A | 690 | 4.30 | 10.85 | |
| 02-08-13 | 15:00 | N/A | 700 | 5.10 | 10.80 | |
| 03-09-13 | 14:30 | 23 | 700 | 4.40 | 11.05 | |
| 03-10-13 | 12:05 | 16 | 680 | 4.40 | 11.15 | |
| 04-11-13 | 11:25 | 18 | 640 | 4.80 | 11.35 | |
| 03-12-13 | 10:30 | 23 | 690 | 4.70 | 11.28 | |
| 09-01-14 | 14:00 | 19 | 670 | 4.80 | 11.49 | |
| 04-02-14 | 9:45 | 24 | 680 | 4.60 | 11.49 | |
| 07-03-14 | 9:55 | 21 | 545 | 4.60 | 11.50 | |
| 04-04-14 | 10:05 | 20 | 700 | 4.90 | 11.37 | |
| 06-05-14 | 10:00 | 16 | 690 | 4.80 | 11.37 | |
| 03-06-14 | 12:15 | 18 | 652 | 5.26 | 11.47 | |
| 02-07-14 | 11:45 | 17 | 688 | 5.54 | 11.55 | |
| 06-08-14 | 11:45 | 20 | 648 | 5.94 | 11.60 | New site personnel (Cheyne Hudson). |
| 09-09-14 | 11:45 | 21 | 636 | 5.30 | 11.30 | Commenced laboratory analysis of pH and EC at ALSE |
| 08-10-14 | 11:30 | 20 | 628 | 5.40 | 11.45 | |
| 13-11-14 | 11:30 | 22 | 609 | 5.74 | 11.50 | |
| 03-12-14 | 12:00 | 30 | 598 | 5.84 | 11.50 | |
| 09-01-15 | 12:00 | 30 | 601 | 5.68 | 11.45 | |
| 11-02-15 | 11:45 | 28 | 588 | 5.43 | 11.45 | |
| 10-03-15 | 12:00 | 22 | 581 | 5.79 | 11.45 | |
| 08-04-15 | 11:00 | 20 | 573 | 5.13 | 11.40 | |
| 07-05-15 | 11:00 | 20 | 527 | 5.26 | 11.20 | Sampling undertaken by M Rampe |
| 10-06-15 | 9:00 | 15 | 507 | 5.28 | 11.20 | |
| 06-07-15 | 10:00 | 10 | 500 | 4.96 | 11.20 | |
| 07-08-15 | 10:00 | 12 | 480 | 5.58 | 11.45 | |
| 03-09-15 | 9:00 | 11 | 417 | 5.75 | 11.25 | |
| 08-10-15 | 9:00 | 16 | 423 | 5.15 | 11.50 | |
| 06-11-15 | 9:00 | 22 | 456 | 5.26 | 11.45 | |
| 07-12-15 | 10:00 | 23 | 434 | 5.25 | 11.50 | |
| 04-01-16 | 11:00 | 20 | 409 | 5.00 | 11.50 | |
| 05-02-16 | 10:45 | 22 | 410 | 5.61 | 11.60 | |
| 03-03-16 | 9:00 | 23 | 399 | 5.23 | 11.60 | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|----|-----|------|-------|--|
| 06-04-16 | 9:00 | 23 | 359 | 5.03 | 11.40 | |
| 05-05-16 | 12:30 | 22 | 363 | 5.77 | 11.50 | |
| 03-06-16 | 2:00 | 18 | 377 | 5.47 | 11.60 | |
| 04-07-16 | 12.30 | 13 | 372 | 5.32 | 10.70 | |
| 03-08-16 | 10.00 | 12 | 261 | 6.84 | 10.70 | |
| 05-09-16 | 10.00 | 12 | 250 | 5.62 | 10.40 | |
| 01-10-16 | 10.00 | 12 | 252 | 6.16 | 10.50 | |
| 01-11-16 | 8.00 | 11 | 296 | 5.93 | 10.70 | |
| 01-12-16 | 8.00 | 12 | 352 | 5.63 | 10.70 | |
| 06-01-17 | 11.00 | 21 | 363 | 5.45 | 10.70 | |
| 03-02-17 | 8.30 | 22 | 334 | 5.53 | 11.10 | |
| 03-03-17 | 8.30 | 23 | 361 | 5.25 | 11.10 | |
| 04-04-17 | 8.30 | 16 | 392 | 5.46 | 10.80 | |
| 01-05-17 | 10.30 | 16 | 294 | 6.09 | 10.70 | |
| 01-06-17 | 8.00 | 8 | 373 | 5.12 | 11.00 | |
| 03-07-17 | 8.00 | 2 | 356 | 5.63 | 10.90 | |
| 01-08-17 | 9.00 | 12 | 346 | 6.00 | 11.00 | |
| 04-09-17 | 9.00 | 12 | 352 | 5.63 | 11.00 | |
| 10-10-17 | 8.00 | 16 | 349 | 5.57 | 11.10 | |
| 06-11-17 | 9.00 | 16 | 326 | 5.06 | 11.00 | |
| 05-12-17 | 9.00 | 18 | 304 | 5.42 | 11.20 | |
| 11-01-18 | 9.00 | 22 | 305 | 5.72 | 11.10 | |

APPENDIX 3: Laboratory Quality Control Documentation

QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

Work Order : **ES1801664**

Page : 1 of 3

Client : **HARVEST SCIENTIFIC SERVICES**

Laboratory : Environmental Division Sydney

Contact : MR MART RAMPE

Contact : Customer Services ES

Address : PO BOX 427
NARELLAN NSW, AUSTRALIA 2567

Address : 277-289 Woodpark Road Smithfield NSW Australia 2164

Telephone : +61 02 4647 6177

Telephone : +61-2-8784 8555

Project : COLLINS SPRING FARM

Date Samples Received : 11-Jan-2018

Order number : 2018-1

Date Analysis Commenced : 11-Jan-2018

C-O-C number : ----

Issue Date : 12-Jan-2018

Sampler : MART RAMPE

Site : ----

Quote number : EN/222/17

No. of samples received : 1

No. of samples analysed : 1



This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report; Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) and Acceptance Limits
- Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits
- Matrix Spike (MS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

| Signatories | Position | Accreditation Category |
|-------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| Ankit Joshi | Inorganic Chemist | Sydney Inorganics, Smithfield, NSW |



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis. Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high

Key :
 Anonymous = Refers to samples which are not specifically part of this work order but formed part of the QC process lot
 CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
 LOR = Limit of reporting
 RPD = Relative Percentage Difference
 # = Indicates failed QC

Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

The quality control term Laboratory Duplicate refers to a randomly selected intralaboratory split. Laboratory duplicates provide information regarding method precision and sample heterogeneity. The permitted ranges for the Relative Percent Deviation (RPD) of Laboratory Duplicates are specified in ALS Method QWI-EN/38 and are dependent on the magnitude of results in comparison to the level of reporting: Result < 10 times LOR: No Limit; Result between 10 and 20 times LOR: 0% - 50%; Result > 20 times LOR: 0% - 20%.

Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

| | | | | Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---|-------------------|--|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| <i>Laboratory sample ID</i> | <i>Client sample ID</i> | <i>Method: Compound</i> | <i>CAS Number</i> | <i>LOR</i> | <i>Unit</i> | <i>Original Result</i> | <i>Duplicate Result</i> | <i>RPD (%)</i> | <i>Recovery Limits (%)</i> |
| EA005P: pH by PC Titrator (QC Lot: 1364688) | | | | | | | | | |
| ES1801640-014 | Anonymous | EA005-P: pH Value | ---- | 0.01 | pH Unit | 6.78 | 6.83 | 0.735 | 0% - 20% |
| ES1801656-008 | Anonymous | EA005-P: pH Value | ---- | 0.01 | pH Unit | 7.90 | 7.91 | 0.126 | 0% - 20% |
| EA010P: Conductivity by PC Titrator (QC Lot: 1364689) | | | | | | | | | |
| ES1801656-008 | Anonymous | EA010-P: Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C | ---- | 1 | µS/cm | 442 | 442 | 0.00 | 0% - 20% |



Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report

The quality control term Method / Laboratory Blank refers to an analyte free matrix to which all reagents are added in the same volumes or proportions as used in standard sample preparation. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential laboratory contamination. The quality control term Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) refers to a certified reference material, or a known interference free matrix spiked with target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor method precision and accuracy independent of sample matrix. Dynamic Recovery Limits are based on statistical evaluation of processed LCS.

Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

| Sub-Matrix: WATER | | | | Method Blank (MB) Report | Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report | | | |
|--|------------|-----|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | | | Spike Concentration | Spike Recovery (%) LCS | Recovery Limits (%) Low High | |
| Method: Compound | CAS Number | LOR | Unit | Result | | | | |
| EA010P: Conductivity by PC Titrator (QCLot: 1364689) | | | | | | | | |
| EA010-P: Electrical Conductivity @ 25°C | ---- | 1 | µS/cm | <1 | 2000 µS/cm | 103 | 95 | 113 |

Matrix Spike (MS) Report

The quality control term Matrix Spike (MS) refers to an intralaboratory split sample spiked with a representative set of target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential matrix effects on analyte recoveries. Static Recovery Limits as per laboratory Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). Ideal recovery ranges stated may be waived in the event of sample matrix interference.

- **No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.**

QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review

| | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Work Order | : ES1801664 | Page | : 1 of 4 |
| Client | : HARVEST SCIENTIFIC SERVICES | Laboratory | : Environmental Division Sydney |
| Contact | : MR MART RAMPE | Telephone | : +61-2-8784 8555 |
| Project | : COLLINS SPRING FARM | Date Samples Received | : 11-Jan-2018 |
| Site | : ---- | Issue Date | : 12-Jan-2018 |
| Sampler | : MART RAMPE | No. of samples received | : 1 |
| Order number | : 2018-1 | No. of samples analysed | : 1 |

This report is automatically generated by the ALS LIMS through interpretation of the ALS Quality Control Report and several Quality Assurance parameters measured by ALS. This automated reporting highlights any non-conformances, facilitates faster and more accurate data validation and is designed to assist internal expert and external Auditor review. Many components of this report contribute to the overall DQO assessment and reporting for guideline compliance.

Brief method summaries and references are also provided to assist in traceability.

Summary of Outliers

Outliers : Quality Control Samples

This report highlights outliers flagged in the Quality Control (QC) Report.

- **NO** Method Blank value outliers occur.
- **NO** Duplicate outliers occur.
- **NO** Laboratory Control outliers occur.
- **NO** Matrix Spike outliers occur.
- For all regular sample matrices, **NO** surrogate recovery outliers occur.

Outliers : Analysis Holding Time Compliance

- **NO** Analysis Holding Time Outliers exist.

Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples

- **NO** Quality Control Sample Frequency Outliers exist.



Analysis Holding Time Compliance

If samples are identified below as having been analysed or extracted outside of recommended holding times, this should be taken into consideration when interpreting results.

This report summarizes extraction / preparation and analysis times and compares each with ALS recommended holding times (referencing USEPA SW 846, APHA, AS and NEPM) based on the sample container provided. Dates reported represent first date of extraction or analysis and preclude subsequent dilutions and reruns. A listing of breaches (if any) is provided herein.

Holding time for leachate methods (e.g. TCLP) vary according to the analytes reported. Assessment compares the leach date with the shortest analyte holding time for the equivalent soil method. These are: organics 14 days, mercury 28 days & other metals 180 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all non-volatile parameters.

Holding times for VOC in soils vary according to analytes of interest. Vinyl Chloride and Styrene holding time is 7 days; others 14 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all VOC analytes and should be verified in case the reported breach is a false positive or Vinyl Chloride and Styrene are not key analytes of interest/concern.

Matrix: **WATER**

Evaluation: ✖ = Holding time breach ; ✔ = Within holding time.

| Method | Sample Date | Extraction / Preparation | | | Analysis | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|------------------|------------|
| Container / Client Sample ID(s) | | Date extracted | Due for extraction | Evaluation | Date analysed | Due for analysis | Evaluation |
| EA005P: pH by PC Titrator | | | | | | | |
| Clear Plastic Bottle - Natural (EA005-P) COLLINS | 11-Jan-2018 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 11-Jan-2018 | 11-Jan-2018 | ✓ |
| EA010P: Conductivity by PC Titrator | | | | | | | |
| Clear Plastic Bottle - Natural (EA010-P) COLLINS | 11-Jan-2018 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 11-Jan-2018 | 08-Feb-2018 | ✓ |



Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance

The following report summarises the frequency of laboratory QC samples analysed within the analytical lot(s) in which the submitted sample(s) was(were) processed. Actual rate should be greater than or equal to the expected rate. A listing of breaches is provided in the Summary of Outliers.

Matrix: **WATER**

Evaluation: ✖ = Quality Control frequency not within specification ; ✔ = Quality Control frequency within specification.

| Quality Control Sample Type | | Count | | Rate (%) | | | Quality Control Specification |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|----------|----------|------------|--------------------------------|
| Analytical Methods | Method | QC | Regular | Actual | Expected | Evaluation | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Laboratory Duplicates (DUP) | | | | | | | |
| Conductivity by PC Titrator | EA010-P | 1 | 8 | 12.50 | 10.00 | ✓ | NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard |
| pH by PC Titrator | EA005-P | 2 | 20 | 10.00 | 10.00 | ✓ | NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard |
| Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) | | | | | | | |
| Conductivity by PC Titrator | EA010-P | 1 | 8 | 12.50 | 5.00 | ✓ | NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard |
| Method Blanks (MB) | | | | | | | |
| Conductivity by PC Titrator | EA010-P | 1 | 8 | 12.50 | 5.00 | ✓ | NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard |



Brief Method Summaries

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the US EPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request. The following report provides brief descriptions of the analytical procedures employed for results reported in the Certificate of Analysis. Sources from which ALS methods have been developed are provided within the Method Descriptions.

| Analytical Methods | Method | Matrix | Method Descriptions |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------|---|
| pH by PC Titrator | EA005-P | WATER | In house: Referenced to APHA 4500 H+ B. This procedure determines pH of water samples by automated ISE. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3) |
| Conductivity by PC Titrator | EA010-P | WATER | In house: Referenced to APHA 2510 B. This procedure determines conductivity by automated ISE. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3) |